Department of Physics
Kyoto University

KUNS 1246
February 1994

Post-Newtonian Expansion of the Ingoing-Wave Regge-Wheeler Function

arXiv:gr-qc/9402042v1 24 Feb 1994

Misao SASAKI

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606

Submitted to *Prog. Theor. Phys.*

ABSTRACT

We present a method of post-Newtonian expansion to solve the homogeneous Regge-Wheeler equation which describes gravitational waves on the Schwarzschild spacetime. The advantage of our method is that it allows a systematic iterative analysis of the solution. Then we obtain the Regge-Wheeler function which is purely ingoing at the horizon in closed analytic form, with accuracy required to determine the gravitational wave luminosity to (post)^4-Newtonian order (i.e., order v^8 beyond Newtonian) from a particle orbiting around a Schwarzschild black hole. Our result, valid in the small-mass limit of one body, gives an important guideline for the study of coalescing compact binaries. In particular, it provides basic formulas to analytically calculate detailed waveforms and luminosity, including the tail terms to (post)^3-Newtonian order, which should be reproduced in any other post-Newtonian calculations.

1. Introduction

Among the possible sources of gravitational radiation, coalescing compact binaries have become one of the most promising candidates which may be detected by the near-future laser interferometric gravitational wave detectors such as LIGO [1] or VIRGO.[2] Among various others, one very crucial reason for this is because of the simplicity of physics involved during the orbital evolution of such a system. Except for the very last moment of the coalescence, the dynamics is dominated by gravity alone and is almost non-relativistic. The energy carried away by gravitational waves per orbital period is small enough and the orbit spirals in very slowly. Thus the gravitational radiation from the inspiraling binary has a distinguishable waveform which may be easily detected. Then by matched filtering,[3] we may be able to determine the orbital parameters, spins of the constituent stars, the distance to the source, etc.. Astrophysical importance of such measurements is needless to say. Consequently much effort has been recently made to the study of the inspiral stage of coalescing compact binaries.[4]

However, based on calculations of the gravitational radiation from a particle in circular orbit around a non-rotating black hole, which is valid when one body of the binary has mass much smaller than the other and each has no spin, Cutler et al.[5] showed that evaluation of the gravitational wave luminosity to a post-Newtonian order much higher than what can be presently achieved will be necessary to construct templates effective enough to determine the source parameters. Then the same problem was studied with much greater accuracy by Tagoshi and Nakamura[6] in a semi-analytic way. In this very interesting work they calculated the coefficients of post-Newtonian expansion of the gravitational wave luminosity to (post)^4-Newtonian order (i.e., $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian) and claimed that the accuracy to at least (post)^3-Newtonian order is required for the construction of effective theoretical templates. In addition, they found logarithmic terms in the luminosity formula at and beyond (post)^3-Newtonian order.

It is then a matter of great importance to confirm those coefficients they calcu-

lated and to understand the origin of logarithmic terms. In this paper, we present a method to systematically calculate the gravitational radiation in the test particle limit and show that an analytic derivation of those coefficients is indeed possible. Our method is an extension of the one originally developed by Poisson,[8] in which the expansion parameter is $M\omega$ where M is the mass of a Schwarzschild black hole (or a non-rotating compact star) and ω is the frequency of gravitational waves, but in a way which is more suited for a systematic post-Newtonian expansion. In particular, we find it can more adequately deal with the logarithmic ambiguity one encounters when one matches light cones in the near zone and far zone in the post-Newtonian expansion.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we formulate a new post-Newtonian approach to the gravitational radiation emitted by a particle orbiting around a non-rotating black hole, which is governed by the Regge-Wheeler equation.[7] We then give an iterative method to solve for the Regge-Wheeler function, $X^{in}_{\omega\ell}(r)$, describing a purely ingoing wave at the black-hole horizon, which plays an essential role in evaluating the gravitational radiation from a particle. In §3, as a preliminary, we analyze general features of the iterative solution of $X^{in}_{\omega\ell}$. We clarify the required accuracy of $X^{in}_{\omega\ell}$ in order to evaluate the gravitational wave luminosity and waveforms to $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian. Namely, we find the calculation to $O\left((M\omega)^2\right)$ is necessary only for $\ell \leq 3$ to derive the luminosity formula to $O(v^8)$, but additionally for $\ell = 4$ to derive the waveform. In §4, we give the solution to $O(M\omega)$ for arbitrary ℓ and to $O\left((M\omega)^2\right)$ for $\ell \leq 4$ in closed analytic form. Finally §5 is devoted to conclusion. Some useful mathematical formulas used in the text are given in Appendix. Throughout the paper we use geometrized units, $c = G = 1$.

2. Formulation

The situation we consider is the limit in which one of compact binary objects has a small mass relative to the other and each has no spin. In this case, the larger can be approximated by a non-rotating black hole (with mass M) and the smaller can be treated as a test particle (with mass $\mu \ll M$) oribiting around it. Of course, except for the case the larger body is actually a non-rotating black hole, approximating it by a black hole fails when the orbit approaches the event horizon. However, as long as one is interested in the regime in which one can neglect the radiation going into the black hole, this should be a valid approximation. In fact, we will see below that as long as we focus on the radiation outgoing to infinity, it is so until we reach $O(v^{18})$ beyond Newtonian.

It is known that the gravitational radiation from such a system is described by the Teukolsky equation,[9] but it is also known that it can be transformed to the Regge-Wheeler equation,[10,11] which has a much simpler form. Here we consider the Regge-Wheeler equation. The inhomogeneous Regge-Wheeler equation takes the form,

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^{*2}} + \omega^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\left(\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{6M}{r^3}\right)\right] X_{\omega\ell m} = \mu S_{\omega\ell m}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $S_{\omega\ell m}$ is the source term determined from the orbit of the particle and $r^* = r + 2M\ln(r/2M - 1)$ is the tortoise coordinate. The explicit form of $S_{\omega\ell m}$ for bound orbits can be found in Ref.12).

The solution $X_{\omega\ell m}$ which satisfies the outgoing-wave condition at infinity and ingoing-wave condition at horizon is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\omega\ell m}(r) &= \frac{\mu}{W}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr^{*'} G(r,r') S_{\omega\ell m}(r')\,; \\ G(r,r') &= \theta(r-r')X^{out}(r)X^{in}(r') + \theta(r'-r)X^{in}(r)X^{out}(r')\,, \\ W &= X^{in}\frac{d}{dr^*}X^{out} - X^{out}\frac{d}{dr^*}X^{in}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where X^{in} and X^{out} are the solutions of the homogeneous Regge-Wheeler equation with the boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} X^{in} &\to \begin{cases} Ce^{-i\omega r^*} & \text{for } r^* \to -\infty, \\ A^{in}e^{-i\omega r^*} + A^{out}e^{i\omega r^*} & \text{for } r^* \to \infty, \end{cases} \\ X^{out} &\to \begin{cases} B^{in}e^{-i\omega r^*} + B^{out}e^{i\omega r^*} & \text{for } r^* \to -\infty, \\ e^{i\omega r^*} & \text{for } r^* \to \infty, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

respectively. Note that from the constancy of the Wronskian for any two solutions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|A^{in}|^2 - |A^{out}|^2 = |C|^2, \qquad |B^{out}|^2 - |B^{in}|^2 = 1, \\ &W = 2i\omega A^{in} = 2i\omega C B^{out}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Usually one normalizes X^{in} so that $C = 1$, but we leave it arbitrary here for later convenience.

Now as long as we are interested only in the radiation emitted to infinity, it is clear from Eq.(2.2) that we only need to know the form of X^{in}. That is, we have

$$X_{\omega\ell m}(r) \to \mu A_{\omega\ell m} e^{i\omega r^*}; \quad A_{\omega\ell m} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr^* X^{in}(r) S_{\omega\ell m}(r)}{2i\omega A^{in}}\,, \tag{2.5}$$

as $r \to \infty$. Then the wave form at infinity is expressed as

$$h_+ - ih_\times \sim \frac{8\mu}{r} \sum_{\ell m} \int d\omega A_{\omega\ell m} e^{-i\omega(t-r^*)}\, {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\varphi)\,, \tag{2.6}$$

where ${}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}$ is the spherical harmonic function of spin weight $s = -2$. From the above waveform, it is straightforward to calculate the gravitational wave luminosity. For a circular orbit, the gravitational radiation spectrum is discrete:

$$A_{\omega\ell m} = \delta(\omega - m\Omega)\tilde{A}_{\ell m}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

where Ω is the angular velocity. In this case, the gravitational wave luminosity is

given by

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \left(|\dot{h}_+|^2 + |\dot{h}_\times|^2 \right) r^2 d\Omega = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{16\mu^2\omega^2 \left| \tilde{A}_{\ell m} \right|^2}{4\pi} . \tag{2.8}$$

In order to formulate a post-Newtonian expansion, we rewrite the homogeneous Regge-Wheeler equation as

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^{*2}} + 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{z} \right) \left(\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{z^2} - \frac{3\epsilon}{z^3} \right) \right] X^{in}_\ell = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where $z = \omega r$, $z^* = z + \epsilon \ln(z - \epsilon)$ and $\epsilon = 2M\omega$, and hereafter we attach the eigenvalue index ℓ to X^{in} for clarity. In the case of a circular orbit at radius $r = r_0$, we have $\Omega r_0 = (M/r_0)^{1/2} = v$ and $\omega = m\Omega$, where v is the orbital velocity. Hence $z_0 = \omega r_0 \sim v$ and $M\omega = (M/r_0) r_0 \omega \sim v^3$. Further the source term $S_{\omega\ell m}$ has support only for $z \leq z_0$.[12] † Hence the post-Newtonian expansion corresponds to solving (2.9) recursively with respect to ϵ and then expanding the resulting X^{in}_ℓ in powers of z as well as to extract out its incident amplitude A^{in}_ℓ at infinity to required accuracy.

Now, since z^* contains ϵ in itself, a naive expansion of (2.9) would result in a complicated equation which involves many ϵ-dependent terms. Furthermore, such an expansion is not quite desirable at both boundaries since $X^{in}_\ell \sim e^{-iz^*}$ at horizon ($z^* \to -\infty$) and $X^{in}_\ell \sim e^{\pm iz^*}$ at infinity ($z^* \to \infty$). In order to take into account these asymptotic behaviors at both boundaries, one would have to take z^* as the independent variable. However, this is not desirable either since the post-Newtonian expansion assumes an infinitesimally small ϵ, but z^* is not analytic at $\epsilon \to 0$ ($z^* \to -\infty$ as $z \to \epsilon$, while taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ first yields $z^* \to z$ which never approaches $-\infty$). One possibility to circumvent this non-analytic behavior is

† One may also transform X^{in}_ℓ to the corresponding ingoing-wave Teukolsky function first and then integrate the inhomogeneous Teukolsky equation. In this case, the source term has support only at $z = z_0$.[8]

to separate out e^{-iz^*} dependence from the dependent variable X_ℓ^{in} in the beginning and to adopt z as the independent variable. Incidentally, this also takes care of the incoming part of the asymptotic behavior at infinity. In a sense, the incoming light-cone is adequately respected in this way. It turns out this idea works pretty well. Setting

$$X_\ell^{in} = e^{-i\epsilon \ln(z-\epsilon)} z \xi_\ell(z), \tag{2.10}$$

we find (2.9) reduces to the form,

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \frac{2}{z}\frac{d}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{z^2}\right)\right] \xi_\ell(z) = \epsilon e^{-iz} \frac{d}{dz}\left[\frac{1}{z^3}\frac{d}{dz}\left(e^{iz} z^2 \xi_\ell(z)\right)\right]. \tag{2.11}$$

Surprisingly, ϵ appears only as an overall factor on the right-hand side but nowhere else. Hence it readily allows us to apply the expansion in terms of ϵ:

$$\xi_\ell(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \xi_\ell^{(n)}(z)\,; \tag{2.12}$$

for which we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \frac{2}{z}\frac{d}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{z^2}\right)\right] \xi_\ell^{(n)}(z) \\ &\qquad = e^{-iz} \frac{d}{dz}\left[\frac{1}{z^3}\frac{d}{dz}\left(e^{iz} z^2 \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}(z)\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Once ξ_ℓ is obtained to desired accuracy, X_ℓ^{in} is readily obtained by inserting the resulting ξ_ℓ into Eq.(2.10). The calculation of A_ℓ^{in} is then straightforward by gathering together all the coefficients of leading terms proportional to e^{-iz}/z from the asymptotic form of ξ_ℓ at $z = \infty$. In the rest of this section, we describe a method to carry out this procedure.

Solving Eq.(2.13) recursively is in principle straightforward. Since the general homogeneous solution to the left-hand side of it is given by a linear combination

of the spherical Bessel functions j_ℓ and n_ℓ, one can immediately write the integral expression for $\xi_\ell^{(n)}$. Noting that $j_\ell n_\ell' - n_\ell j_\ell' = 1/z^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\ell^{(n)} =& n_\ell \int dz\, z^2 e^{-iz} j_\ell \left[\frac{1}{z^3}\left(e^{iz} z^2 \xi^{(n-1)}(z)\right)'\right]' \\ & - j_\ell \int dz\, z^2 e^{-iz} n_\ell \left[\frac{1}{z^3}\left(e^{iz} z^2 \xi^{(n-1)}(z)\right)'\right]',\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where the prime denotes d/dz and we have tentatively expressed the integrals in the indefinite form for convenience. If these indefinite integrals can be explicitly performed, the boundary condition for X_ℓ^{in} as given in Eq.(2.3) is easily implemented. For this purpose, we first rewrite Eq.(2.14) by performing integration by parts as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\ell^{(n)} &= -n_\ell \int dz\, \left(z^2 e^{-iz} j_\ell\right)' \frac{1}{z^3}\left(z^2 e^{iz} \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}\right)' + j_\ell \int z dz\, [j_\ell \to n_\ell] \\ &= -n_\ell \int dz\, z \Bigg\{ j_\ell' \xi_\ell^{(n-1)\prime} + \frac{2}{z}(j_\ell \xi_\ell^{(n-1)})' + \left(\frac{4}{z^2}+1\right) j_\ell \xi_\ell^{(n-1)} \\ &\qquad - i\left(j_\ell \xi_\ell^{(n-1)\prime} - j_\ell' \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}\right)\Bigg\} + j_\ell \int dz\, [j_\ell \to n_\ell] \\ &= n_\ell \int dz\, \left\{\left((z j_\ell')' - \left(z + \frac{4}{z}\right) j_\ell\right) \xi_\ell^{(n-1)} + iz\left(j_\ell \xi_\ell^{(n-1)\prime} - j_\ell' \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}\right)\right\} \\ &\qquad - j_\ell \int dz\, [j_\ell \to n_\ell] + z(j_\ell n_\ell' - n_\ell j_\ell') \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Then using recurrence formulas for the spherical Bessel functions, the above is further rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\ell^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{z}\xi_\ell^{(n-1)} + j_\ell I_{\ell,n}^{(n-1)} - n_\ell I_{\ell,j}^{(n-1)} \\ &+ i n_\ell \int dz\, z\left(j_\ell \xi_\ell^{(n-1)\prime} - j_\ell' \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}\right) - i j_\ell \int dz\, z\left(n_\ell \xi_\ell^{(n-1)\prime} - n_\ell' \xi_\ell^{(n-1)}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where $I^{(n)}_{\ell,\zeta}$ is an indefinite integral defined as

$$I^{(n)}_{\ell,\zeta} := \int dz \left\{ 2z\zeta_\ell - \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell+3)}{2\ell+1}\zeta_{\ell+1} - \frac{\ell^2-4}{2\ell+1}\zeta_{\ell-1} \right\} \xi^{(n)}_\ell \,. \tag{2.17}$$

Here ζ_ℓ stands for either j_ℓ or n_ℓ.

Now let us consider the boundary conditions for $\xi^{(n)}_\ell$. The boundary condition for X^{in}_ℓ as $z^* \to -\infty$ ($z \to \epsilon$) is that $X^{in}_\ell = e^{-\epsilon \ln(z-\epsilon)} z\xi_\ell \propto e^{-iz^*}$. Hence $z\xi_\ell$ is regular at $z = \epsilon$. Since ϵ can be taken arbitrarily small, this implies that $z\xi^{(n)}_\ell$ must be no more singular than $O(z^{-n})$ at $z = 0$. In particular, this fixes the lowest order solution to be $\xi^{(0)}_\ell = N_\ell j_\ell$ where N_ℓ is an arbitrary normalization constant. For convenience, we set $N_\ell = 1$. Taking account of the behavior of the lowest order solution and the structure of the basic equation (2.13), we then infer that $z\xi^{(n)}_\ell$ must be no more singular than $z^{\ell+1-n}$ at $z = 0$. Thus for $n \leq 3$, which is sufficient for the purpose of this paper, the boundary condition is that all the $z\xi^{(n)}_\ell$ are regular at $z = 0$. In passing, we note a symmetry of ξ_ℓ. From the form of Eq.(2.13) and the fact that $\xi^{(0)}_\ell = j_\ell$ and $j_\ell(-z) = (-1)^\ell j_\ell(z)$, we deduce that

$$\xi^{(n)}_\ell(-z) = (-1)^{\ell+n} \overline{\xi^{(n)}_\ell(z)} \,, \tag{2.18}$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation. It is worthwhile to keep this symmetry in mind.

Since $j_\ell = O(z^\ell)$ as $z \to 0$, we have $X^{in}_\ell \to O(\epsilon^{\ell+1}) e^{-iz^*}$, or $C_\ell = O(\epsilon^{\ell+1})$ in the notation of Eq.(2.3). On the other hand, from the asymptotic behavior of j_ℓ at $z = \infty$, we find A^{in}_ℓ and A^{out}_ℓ are of order unity. Then from the first of Eq.(2.4), we obtain

$$|A^{in}_\ell| - |A^{out}_\ell| = \frac{|C_\ell|^2}{|A^{in}_\ell| + |A^{out}_\ell|} = O(\epsilon^{2\ell+2}). \tag{2.19}$$

Thus $|A^{in}_\ell| = |A^{out}_\ell|$ until we go to $O(\epsilon^{2\ell+2})$ or more. Since $\ell \geq 2$, this implies that even though we are dealing with the Regge-Wheeler equation which describes

gravitational radiation from a non-rotating black hole, it also correctly describes a situation with a general non-rotating compact star, provided that the inner structure of the star may be ignored and we focus on the outgoing radiation up to the amplitude of less than $O(\epsilon^6)$ or $O(v^{18})$ beyond Newtonian. We note that if one considers the effect of radiation reaction to the orbital evolution, difference appears between the cases of a black hole and a star much earlier, at $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian, due to the radiation absorbed into the black-hole horizon.[13] What we claim here is that the effect of the presence of the horizon on the *outgoing* radiation appears at $O(v^{18})$.

The above fact also implies that we may choose the phase of X_ℓ^{in} so that A_ℓ^{in} and A_ℓ^{out} are complex conjugate to each other. Then since the Regge-Wheeler equation is real, it follows that X_ℓ^{in} can be made real up to $O(\epsilon^5)$. Provided we choose the phase of X_ℓ^{in} in this way, $\mathrm{Im}\left(\xi_\ell^{(n)}\right)$ for a given n is completely determined in terms of $\mathrm{Re}\left(\xi_\ell^{(r)}\right)$ for $r \le n-1$. To see this, let us decompose the real and imaginary parts of $\xi_\ell^{(n)}$:

$$\xi_\ell^{(n)} = f_\ell^{(n)} + i g_\ell^{(n)} \,. \tag{2.20}$$

Inserting this expression into Eq.(2.10) and expanding the result with respect to ϵ by assuming $z \gg \epsilon$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} X_\ell^{in} =& e^{-i\epsilon \ln(z-\epsilon)} z \left(j_\ell + \epsilon(f_\ell^{(1)} + i g_\ell^{(1)}) + \epsilon^2 (f_\ell^{(2)} + i g_\ell^{(2)}) + \cdots \right) \\ =& z \left(j_\ell + \epsilon f_\ell^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 \Big(f_\ell^{(2)} + g_\ell^{(1)} \ln z - \frac{1}{2} j_\ell (\ln z)^2 \Big) + \cdots \right) \\ & + iz \left(\epsilon(g_\ell^{(1)} - j_\ell \ln z) + \epsilon^2 \Big(g_\ell^{(2)} + \frac{1}{z} j_\ell - f_\ell^{(1)} \ln z \Big) + \cdots \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Hence we must have

$$g_\ell^{(1)} = j_\ell \ln z \,, \quad g_\ell^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{z} j_\ell + f_\ell^{(1)} \ln z \,, \quad \cdots . \tag{2.22}$$

Since the reality of X_ℓ^{in} is not manifest in our expansion scheme, this fact can be used as a useful method of checking calculations. To conclude this section, we

note the relation between the functions $f_\ell^{(n)}$ and the conventional post-Newtonian expansion of X_ℓ^{in}:

$$X_\ell^{in} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n X_\ell^{(n)} ;$$

$$X_\ell^{(0)} = z f_\ell^{(0)} = z j_\ell \,, \quad X_\ell^{(1)} = z f_\ell^{(1)} \,, \quad X_\ell^{(2)} = z \left(f_\ell^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} j_\ell (\ln z)^2 \right), \quad \cdots . \tag{2.23}$$

3. Preliminary analysis

Prior to actually carrying out the integration of Eq.(2.16), in this section we investigate general features of the asymptotic behavior of $\xi_\ell^{(n)}$ at $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$ for $n = 1$ and 2. In addition, we comment on the behavior of $\xi_\ell^{(3)}$ at $z = 0$ for $\ell \leq 4$. Consequently, we clarify the condition to achieve $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian.

First we consider the asymptotic behavior at $z = 0$. We return to Eq.(2.13) and represent the right-hand side of it by $S_\ell^{(n)}$. As we mentioned at the end of the previous section, the imaginary parts of $\xi_\ell^{(n)}$ are subject to their real parts of lower n. Hence we may focus on the real parts.

For $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}\left(S_\ell^{(1)}\right) &= \frac{1}{z}\left(j_\ell'' + \frac{1}{z} j_\ell' - \frac{4+z^2}{z^2} j_\ell \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} O(z) & \text{for } \ell = 2, \\ O(z^{\ell-3}) & \text{for } \ell \geq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Hence from Eq.(2.13) or (2.14) and noting the regularity at $z = 0$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\xi_\ell^{(1)}\right) = f_\ell^{(1)} = \begin{cases} O(z^3) + \alpha_2^{(1)} j_2(z) & \text{for } \ell = 2, \\ O(z^{\ell-1}) + \alpha_\ell^{(1)} j_\ell(z) & \text{for } \ell \geq 3, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\alpha_\ell^{(1)}$ is an arbitrary constant. Since its presence simply corresponds to a different normalization of X_ℓ^{in}, we set $\alpha_\ell^{(1)} = 0$ for simplicity.

For $n = 2$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}\left(S_\ell^{(2)}\right) &= \frac{1}{z}\left(f_\ell^{(1)\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{z}f_\ell^{(1)\prime} - \frac{4+z^2}{z^2}f_\ell^{(1)}\right) - \frac{1}{z}\left(2g_\ell^{(1)\prime} + \frac{1}{z}g_\ell^{(1)}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{z}\left(j_\ell \ln z\right)' - \frac{1}{z^2}j_\ell \ln z + \begin{cases} O(z^{\ell-2}) & \text{for } \ell = 2,3, \\ O(z^{\ell-4}) & \text{for } \ell \geq 4, \end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $g_\ell^{(1)} = j_\ell \ln z$ as given in Eq.(2.22). As may be expected, the terms in Eq.(3.3) arising from this imaginary part just cancel the $j_\ell(\ln z)^2/2$ term of $X_\ell^{(2)}$ in Eq.(2.23). An easy way to check this is to insert it into the left-hand side of Eq.(2.13). Hence keeping in mind the regularity condition again, we find

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\xi_\ell^{(2)}\right) = f_\ell^{(2)} = \begin{cases} O(z^\ell) + O(z^\ell)\ln z - \frac{1}{2}j_\ell(\ln z)^2 & \text{for } \ell = 2,3, \\ O(z^{\ell-2}) + O(z^\ell)\ln z - \frac{1}{2}j_\ell(\ln z)^2 & \text{for } \ell \geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Inserting Eqs.(3.2) and (3.4) into Eq.(2.23), we find

$$\begin{aligned} X_2^{in} &= z^3\left[O(1) + \epsilon O(z) + \epsilon^2\left\{O(1) + O(1)\ln z\right\} + \cdots\right], \\ X_3^{in} &= z^3\left[O(z) + \epsilon O(1) + \epsilon^2\left\{O(z) + O(z)\ln z\right\} + \cdots\right], \\ X_\ell^{in} &= z^3\left[O(z^{\ell-2}) + \epsilon O(z^{\ell-3}) + \epsilon^2\left\{O(z^{\ell-4}) + O(z^{\ell-2})\ln z\right\} + \cdots\right] \ (\ell \geq 4). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

One sees that $X_\ell^{(n)}$ behaves more regularly than what may be expected from the boundary condition we discussed in §2. As noted previously, in the case of a circular orbit, we have $z = O(v)$ and $\epsilon = O(v^3)$. Then from Eq.(3.5), we find that $O(\epsilon^3)$ terms for $\ell = 2$ and 4 may contribute to the waveform at $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian, if the leading terms of them behave as z^{-1} relative to the Newtonian term. By explicitly calculating the series expansion formulas for $\ell = 2$ and 4, we find it is the case for $\ell = 2$ but not for $\ell = 4$; the leading term of order z^{-1} relative to Newtonian cancels out for $\ell = 4$. For completeness, we give the leading term of

$X_2^{(3)}$ here:

$$X_2^{(3)} = \frac{319}{6300} z^2 + O(z^4) + O(z^4) \ln z \,. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus in order to evaluate the gravitational waveform and luminosity to $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian, it is necessary to know the series expansions of $f_\ell^{(n)}$ for $\ell \leq 10 - 2n$ with $n = 0, 1, 2$ and the leading term of $f_2^{(3)}$. Note, however, that calculations of these series expansion formulas are straightforward and easy to obtain. Note also that, as can be seen from Eq.(2.8), if we are interested in the luminosity alone, we only need to know $f_\ell^{(n)}$ for $\ell \leq 6 - n$ up to $n = 2$ and the leading term of $f_2^{(3)}$.

Recalling again the general formula for the luminosity, Eq.(2.8), and the symmetry of $\xi_\ell^{(n)}$, Eq.(2.18), we see that the $\ln z$ terms in the behaviors of X_2^{in} and X_3^{in} should give rise to $\ln v$ terms in the luminosity at $O(v^6)$ and $O(v^8)$ but not at $O(v^7)$ (note that the $\ln z$ appearing in the above expressions is, mathematically speaking, actually $\ln |z|$). This confirms the correctness of the result obtained by Tagoshi and Nakamura,[6] at least qualitatively. Although it may be too early to conclude anything, the present consideration indicates that the $\ln v$ terms in the luminosity originate from some local curvature effect near the source (local in the spatial sense; it may well be non-local in the temporal sense), but is not due to the effect of curvature during propagation. This last point will be discussed further below.

Now we turn to the asymptotic behavior at $z = \infty$. For this purpose, let the asymptotic form of $f_\ell^{(n)}$ be

$$f_\ell^{(n)} \to P_\ell^{(n)} j_\ell + Q_\ell^{(n)} n_\ell \quad \text{as } z \to \infty \quad (n = 1, 2). \tag{3.7}$$

Then noting Eq.(2.22) and the equality $e^{-i\epsilon \ln(z-\epsilon)} = e^{-iz^*} e^{iz}$, the asymptotic form

of X^{in}_ℓ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} X^{in}_\ell &= e^{-i\epsilon\ln(z-\epsilon)}z\Big[j_\ell+\epsilon\left\{f^{(1)}_\ell-ij_\ell\ln z\right\} \\ &\qquad +\epsilon^2\Big\{f^{(2)}_\ell+i\Big(-\frac{1}{z}j_\ell+f^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\Big)\Big\}+\cdots\Big] \\ &\to \frac{1}{2}e^{-iz^*}\left(zh^{(2)}_\ell e^{iz}\right)\Big[1+\epsilon\left\{P^{(1)}_\ell+i\left(Q^{(1)}_\ell+\ln z\right)\right\} \\ &\qquad +\epsilon^2\left\{\left(P^{(2)}_\ell-Q^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\right)+i\left(Q^{(2)}_\ell+P^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\right)\right\}+\cdots\Big] \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}e^{iz^*}\left(zh^{(1)}_\ell e^{-iz}\right)e^{-2i\epsilon\ln(z-\epsilon)}\Big[1+\epsilon\left\{P^{(1)}_\ell-i\left(Q^{(1)}_\ell-\ln z\right)\right\} \\ &\qquad +\epsilon^2\left\{\left(P^{(2)}_\ell+Q^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\right)-i\left(Q^{(2)}_\ell-P^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\right)\right\}+\cdots\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $h^{(1)}_\ell$ and $h^{(2)}_\ell$ are the spherical Hankel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively, which are given by

$$h^{(1)}_\ell=j_\ell+in_\ell\to(-i)^{\ell+1}\frac{e^{iz}}{z}\,,\quad h^{(2)}_\ell=j_\ell-in_\ell\to i^{\ell+1}\frac{e^{-iz}}{z}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Using the above asymptotic behavior of $h^{(1)}_\ell$ and $h^{(2)}_\ell$, the incident amplitude A^{in}_ℓ can be readily extracted out:

$$\begin{aligned} &X^{in}_\ell\to A^{in}_\ell e^{-i(z^*-\epsilon\ln\epsilon)}+A^{out}_\ell e^{i(z^*-\epsilon\ln\epsilon)}\,; \\ &A^{in}_\ell=\frac{1}{2}i^{\ell+1}e^{-i\epsilon\ln\epsilon}\Big[1+\epsilon\left\{P^{(1)}_\ell+i\left(Q^{(1)}_\ell+\ln z\right)\right\} \\ &\qquad +\epsilon^2\left\{\left(P^{(2)}_\ell-Q^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\right\}+i\left(Q^{(2)}_\ell+P^{(1)}_\ell\ln z\right)\right\}+\cdots\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where note that

$$\omega r^*=\omega\left(r+2M\ln\frac{r-2M}{2M}\right)=z^*-\epsilon\ln\epsilon, \tag{3.11}$$

from our definition of z^*, which gives rise to the phase $-i\epsilon\ln\epsilon$ of A^{in}_ℓ. Since we have required X^{in}_ℓ to be real, we must have $A^{out}_\ell=\overline{A^{in}_\ell}$. This can be explicitly

checked by expanding in powers of ϵ the factor $e^{-2i\epsilon\ln(z-\epsilon)}$ associated with the terms proportional to e^{iz^*} in Eq.(3.8).

An important point to be noted in the above expression for A^{in}_ℓ is that it contains $\ln z$-dependent terms. Since A^{in}_ℓ should be constant, $P^{(n)}_\ell$ and $Q^{(n)}_\ell$ should contain appropriate $\ln z$-dependent terms which exactly cancel the $\ln z$-dependent terms in the formula (3.10). To be explicit, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(1)}_\ell &= p^{(1)}_\ell\,, \\ Q^{(1)}_\ell &= q^{(1)}_\ell - \ln z\,, \\ P^{(2)}_\ell &= p^{(2)}_\ell + q^{(1)}_\ell \ln z - (\ln z)^2\,, \\ Q^{(2)}_\ell &= q^{(2)}_\ell - p^{(1)}_\ell \ln z\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $p^{(n)}_\ell$ and $q^{(n)}_\ell$ $(n = 1, 2)$ are constant. These relations, as well as Eq.(2.22) mentioned previously, can be used to check the result of calculations.

In terms of $p^{(n)}_\ell$ and $q^{(n)}_\ell$, A^{in}_ℓ is finally expressed as

$$A^{in}_\ell = \frac{1}{2} i^{\ell+1} e^{-i\epsilon\ln\epsilon}\left[1 + \epsilon\left(p^{(1)}_\ell + iq^{(1)}_\ell\right) + \epsilon^2\left(p^{(2)}_\ell + iq^{(2)}_\ell\right) + \cdots\right]. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that the above form of A^{in}_ℓ implies that the tail radiation, which is due to the curvature scattering of waves, will contain $\ln v$ terms as phase shifts in the waveform, but will not give rise to such terms in the luminosity formula. This supports our previous argument on the origin of the $\ln v$ terms in the luminosity.

Since $\epsilon = O(v^3)$, the accuracy of A^{in}_ℓ to $O(\epsilon^2)$ is sufficient for calculations up to $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian. Specifically, taking account of Eq.(3.5) and the remarks that follow it, we conclude that in order to calculate waveforms to $O(v^8)$, the accuracy of A^{in}_ℓ we have to achieve for a given ℓ is $O(\epsilon^n)$ where $n = \left[\frac{10-\ell}{3}\right]$. On the other hand, if we concentrate on the luminosity, the required order is $n = \left[\frac{12-2\ell}{3}\right]$. Thus, in order to derive the luminosity formula accurate to $O(v^8)$, we need to evaluate A^{in}_ℓ for only $\ell = 2, 3$ to $O(\epsilon^2)$ and for $\ell = 4$ to $O(\epsilon)$, but to obtain the

waveform to $O(v^8)$ we additionally need A_ℓ^{in} for $\ell = 4$ to $O(\epsilon^2)$ and for $\ell = 5$ to $O(\epsilon)$. From the observational point of view, however, the post-Newtonian terms in the luminosity formula are far more important than those in the waveform because of their accumulative effect on the orbital evolution of compact binaries.[5]

4. The ingoing-wave Regge-Wheeler function to $O(\epsilon^2)$

In this section we derive closed analytic formulas of the ingoing-wave Regge-Wheeler function for arbitrary ℓ to $O(\epsilon)$ and those for $\ell = 2, 3$ and 4 to $O(\epsilon^2)$.

4.1. Calculation to $O(\epsilon)$

From Eq.(2.16) with $\xi_\ell^{(0)} = j_\ell$, we have

$$\xi_\ell^{(1)} = j_\ell I_{\ell,n}^{(1)} - n_\ell I_{\ell,j}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{z} j_\ell + i j_\ell \ln z \,. \tag{4.1}$$

Although a bit tedious, the integrals $I_{\ell,n}^{(1)}$ and $I_{\ell,j}^{(1)}$ can be straightforwardly evaluated by using integral formulas for the spherical Bessel functions. Some useful formulas are given in Appendix. In addition, the fact that j_ℓ and n_ℓ appear in an anti-symmetric manner in Eq.(4.1) helps us to save our computational effort considerably. We then find

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\ell^{(1)} =& \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell+3)}{2(\ell+1)(2\ell+1)} j_{\ell+1} - \left(\frac{\ell^2-4}{2\ell(2\ell+1)} + \frac{2\ell-1}{\ell(\ell-1)} \right) j_{\ell-1} \\
&+ z^2 (n_\ell j_0 - j_\ell n_0) j_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{m+1} \right) z^2 (n_\ell j_m - j_\ell n_m) j_m \\
&+ n_\ell \left(\mathrm{Ci}\, 2z - \gamma - \ln 2z \right) - j_\ell \,\mathrm{Si}\, 2z + i j_\ell \ln z + \alpha_\ell^{(1)} j_\ell + \beta_\ell^{(1)} n_\ell \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathrm{Si}\, x = \int_0^x dt \sin t/t$ and $\mathrm{Ci}\, x = -\int_x^\infty dt \cos t/t$ are the sine and cosine integral functions, respectively, and $\alpha_\ell^{(1)}$ and $\beta_\ell^{(1)}$ are integration constants to be determined

from the boundary condition. Note that terms like $z^2(n_\ell j_m - j_\ell n_m)$ in the second line are polynomials in $1/z$ which are known as the Lommel polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} z^2(n_\ell j_m - j_\ell n_m) &= R_{\ell-m-1,m+\frac{3}{2}}(z), \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{[\frac{\ell-m-1}{2}]} (-1)^r \frac{(\ell-m-1-r)!\,\Gamma(\ell+\frac{1}{2}-r)}{r!\,(\ell-m-1-2r)!\,\Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2}+r)} \left(\frac{2}{z}\right)^{\ell-m-1-2r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

As we discussed in §2, the boundary condition is that $z\xi_\ell^{(1)}$ is regular at $z=0$. This fixes $\beta_\ell^{(1)} = 0$. As for $\alpha_\ell^{(1)}$, since it simply represents the arbitrariness of the normalization of X_ℓ^{in}, we set $\alpha_\ell^{(1)} = 0$ for convenience (in Poisson's notation,[8] our choice corresponds to his $\alpha_\ell = -\pi/2$). Note that this choice is in accordance with the choice we made in the previous general discussion, as seen by comparing the asymptotic behavior of $\xi_\ell^{(1)}$ at $z=0$ and Eq.(3.2).

Asymptotic behavior of $\xi_\ell^{(1)}$ at $z=\infty$ is easily seen. Noting the fact $j_{\ell+1} \sim -j_{\ell-1} \sim (-1)^{\ell+n} n_{2n-\ell}$, etc., we find

$$\xi_\ell^{(1)} \to \left(p_\ell^{(1)} + i\ln z\right) j_\ell + \left(q_\ell^{(1)} - \ln z\right) n_\ell\,; \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_\ell^{(1)} &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\,, \\ q_\ell^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\psi(\ell) + \psi(\ell+1) + \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell+3)}{\ell(\ell+1)}\right] - \ln 2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Here $\psi(\ell)$ is the digamma function:

$$\psi(\ell) = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{m} - \gamma\,,$$

and $\gamma = 0.57721\cdots$ is the Euler constant. Note that Eq.(4.4) is perfectly consistent with general discussion given in §3. A_ℓ^{in} to this order is readily found to be

$$A_\ell^{in} = \frac{1}{2} i^{\ell+1} e^{-i\epsilon\ln\epsilon}\left[1 + \epsilon\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} + iq_\ell^{(1)}\right) + \cdots\right], \tag{4.6}$$

with $q_\ell^{(1)}$ given by Eq.(4.5).

Before closing this subsection, we mention an important issue associated with the interpretation of Eq.(4.6). Comparing our result for $\ell = 2$ with Eq.(4.24) of Poisson's paper,[8] we see that his $\ln\epsilon$ term ($\epsilon = 2M\omega$) at $O(\epsilon)$ is automatically absorbed into the phase of A_ℓ^{in} in our result. An inspection of Poisson's analysis reveals that his $\ln\epsilon$ term indeed originates from the phase of X_ℓ^{in} when matching r^* and r; $r^* = r + 2M\ln[(r-2M)/2M]$, in the asymptotic behavior of X_ℓ^{in}; $e^{\pm i\omega r^*} = e^{\pm i(z^* - \epsilon\ln\epsilon)}$. Thus, as discussed in the previous section, this $\ln\epsilon$ term will never contribute to the amplitude of A_ℓ^{in} but only to its phase at any higher order of the expansion. Then since a different choice of a constant scale in the logarithmic term of r^* as $2M \to 2M'$ induces only a change of phase by $i\epsilon\ln(M/M')$, the $\ln\epsilon$ term itself has no physical significance except for its $\ln\omega$-dependence.

The above argument implies that one should not take the value of $q_\ell^{(1)}$ itself as physically significant, since it may also be absorbed into the re-definition of r^* in the phase of X_ℓ^{in}. The physical significance lies in the relative differences of $q_\ell^{(1)}$ between different ℓ. In passing, we note that Wiseman found a discrepancy in the tail term of the waveform between his result of a post-Newtonian analysis[14] and Poisson's result, which corresponds to a discrepancy in the value of $q_2^{(1)}$. Wiseman argues that this discrepancy may be due to different boundary conditions in the two approaches; with and without the black-hole horizon. Because of the reason we mentioned above, in addition to general consideration on the presence of the horizon we gave in §2, we suspect Wiseman's argument is incorrect.

4.2. Calculation to $O(\epsilon^2)$

Using recurrence relations for the spherical Bessel functions, one can reduce all the terms in $\xi_\ell^{(1)}$ of Eq.(4.2) to a linear sum of the spherical Bessel functions, except for the terms proportional to $\mathrm{Si}\,2z$, $\mathrm{Ci}\,2z$ and $\ln z$. Hence if the integrals involving these latter terms can be evaluated when inserted into Eq.(2.16), we may obtain an analytic formula for $\xi_\ell^{(2)}$. Performing integration by parts and utilizing the anti-symmetric appearance of j_ℓ and n_ℓ, we then find this condition translates

to the condition that the following integrals are analytically calculable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_n(z) &:= \int_0^z dx \left(2xn_0^2(x)C(x) - 2xj_0(x)n_0(x)S(x)\right), \\
B_j(z) &:= \int_0^z dx \left(2xn_0(x)j_0(x)C(x) - 2xj_0^2(x)S(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

where for notational convenience we have defined the functions C and S as

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(z) &:= \int_0^z dx \left(-2xj_0(x)^2\right) = \int_0^{2z} dx \frac{\cos x - 1}{x} = \mathrm{Ci}\, 2z - \gamma - \ln 2z\,, \\
S(z) &:= \int_0^z dx \left(-2xn_0(x)j_0(x)\right) = \int_0^{2z} dx \frac{\sin x}{x} = \mathrm{Si}\, 2z\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.8}
$$

We note that $C(z) = O(z^2)$ and $S(z) = O(z)$ so that $B_\zeta(z)$ are perfectly regular at $z = 0$. Now using the fact $n_0^2(z) = -j_0^2(z) + 1/z^2$ and the above definitions of $C(z)$ and $S(z)$, we can rewrite $B_\zeta(z)$ $(\zeta = n, j)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_n(z) =& \int_0^z dx \ \left(C'(x)C(x) + S'(x)S(x)\right) + 2\int_0^z \frac{dx}{x} C(x) \\
=& \frac{1}{2}\left(C(z)^2 + S(z)^2\right) + 2C(z)\ln 2z + 2\int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x}(1 - \cos x)\ln x\,, \\
\\
B_j(z) =& \int_0^z dx \ \left(C'(x)S(x) - S'(x)C(x)\right) \\
=& (\gamma - \ln 2z)S(z) + 2\int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} \sin x \ln x \\
&+ \int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} \left(\mathrm{Si}\, x \cos x - \mathrm{Ci}\, x \sin x\right)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

Hence the asymptotic behavior of B_ζ at $z = \infty$ can be obtained if the integrals in

the above expressions can be evaluated for $z \to \infty$. In Appendix we show this can be done:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} \sin x \ln x \to -\pi\gamma\,, \\
&2\int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} (1-\cos x) \ln x \to (\ln 2z)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \gamma^2, \\
&\int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} \left(\mathrm{Si}\, x \cos x - \mathrm{Ci}\, x \sin x\right) \to 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

Using these results, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_n &\to \frac{5}{24}\pi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + \ln 2z)^2, \\
B_j &\to -\frac{\pi}{2}(\gamma + \ln 2z)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.11}
$$

as $z \to \infty$.

Thus, it is possible to derive an analytic formula of $\xi_\ell^{(2)}$ for arbitrary ℓ, though the manipulation will be quite involved. However, as discussed in the previous section, as long as we are concerned with the accuracy up to $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian, it is unnecessary to derive a general formula of $\xi_\ell^{(2)}$. Instead, at $O(\epsilon^2)$, we only need the series expansion formulas of $\xi_\ell^{(2)}$ at $z = 0$ for $\ell \leq 6$ and the asymptotic formulas of $\xi_\ell^{(2)}$ at $z = \infty$ for $\ell \leq 4$. The former can be easily obtained by expanding in powers of z the integrands in Eq.(2.16). Hence it is sufficient to derive closed analytic formulas of $\xi_\ell^{(2)}$ up to $\ell = 4$ for calculation of the luminosity and waveforms with accuracy to $O(v^8)$. In what follows, we present the results of our calculation for $\ell = 2, 3$ and 4 in order.

(a) $\underline{\ell = 2}$

First consider the case of $\ell = 2$. From Eq.(2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(2)} =& \mathrm{Re}\left(\xi_2^{(2)}\right) \\ =& \frac{1}{z} f_2^{(1)} + j_2 \int dz (2z n_2 - n_3) f_2^{(1)} - n_2 \int dz (2z j_2 - j_3) f_2^{(1)} \\ & + j_2 \int dz\, n_2 j_2 - n_2 \int dz\, j_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} j_2 (\ln z)^2, \\ g_2^{(2)} =& \mathrm{Im}\left(\xi_2^{(2)}\right) \\ =& \frac{1}{z} j_2 \ln z + j_2 \int dz (2z n_2 - n_3) j_2 \ln z - n_2 \int dz (2z j_2 - j_3) j_2 \ln z \\ & - j_2 \int dz\, z \left(n_2 f_2^{(1)\prime} - f_2^{(1)} n_2{}'\right) + n_2 \int dz\, z \left(j_2 f_2^{(1)\prime} - f_2^{(1)} j_2{}'\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Calculation of the above integrals is straightforward but requires care and patience. As discussed in §2, the imaginary part $g_2^{(2)}$ should be equal to the one given in Eq.(2.22). With the boundary condition that it be regular at $z = 0$, we have explicitly evaluated the above integral expression for $g_2^{(2)}$ and confirmed our expectation.

As for the real part $f_2^{(2)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(2)} =& -\frac{389}{70 z^2} j_0 - \frac{113}{420 z} j_1 + \frac{1}{7z} j_3 + B_n(z) j_2 - B_j(z) n_2 \\ & + C(z) \left(\frac{5}{6z} n_2 - \frac{5}{3} n_1 - \frac{3}{z} n_0 - \frac{107}{210} j_2\right) \\ & - S(z) \left(\frac{5}{6z} j_2 - \frac{5}{3} j_1 - \frac{3}{z} j_0 + \frac{107}{210} n_2\right) \\ & - \frac{107}{210} j_2 \ln z - \frac{1}{2} j_2 (\ln z)^2 + \alpha_2^{(2)} j_2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where C, S, B_n and B_j are the functions defined in Eqs.(4.7) and (4.8), and $\alpha_2^{(2)}$ is

an arbitrary constant which we shall set to zero for convenience. For confirmation, we have examined the above expression for $f_2^{(2)}$ with the help of the algebraic manipulation program, *Mathematica*. We have confirmed that it satisfies the basic equation (2.13) and the required boundary condition at $z = 0$, Eq.(3.4).

Asymptotic behavior of $f_2^{(2)}$ at $z = \infty$ is now easy to evaluate. Again, we find the behavior in agreement with general discussion of §3:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(2)} &\to P_2^{(2)} j_2 + Q_2^{(2)} n_2 \,; \\ P_2^{(2)} &= p_2^{(2)} + q_2^{(1)} \ln z - (\ln z)^2 \,, \\ Q_2^{(2)} &= q_2^{(2)} - p_2^{(1)} \ln z \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where $p_2^{(1)}$ and $q_2^{(1)}$ can be read off from the general formula (4.5) in the previous subsection,

$$p_2^{(1)} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \,, \quad q_2^{(1)} = \frac{5}{3} - \gamma - \ln 2 \,, \tag{4.15}$$

and the constants $p_2^{(2)}$ and $q_2^{(2)}$ are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} p_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(q_2^{(1)}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{24}\pi^2 + \frac{107}{210}(\gamma + \ln 2), \\ q_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\left(q_2^{(1)} + \frac{107}{210}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Hence A_2^{in} to $O(\epsilon^2)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^{in} =& -\frac{1}{2} i e^{-i\epsilon \ln \epsilon} \Bigg[1 + \epsilon \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{2} + i q_2^{(1)} \right\} \\ &+ \epsilon^2 \Bigg\{ -\frac{1}{2}\left(q_2^{(1)}\right)^2 + \frac{25}{18} + \frac{5}{24}\pi^2 + \frac{107}{210}(\gamma + \ln 2) \\ &- i\frac{\pi}{2}\left(q_2^{(1)} + \frac{107}{210}\right) \Bigg\} + \cdots \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} =& -\frac{1}{2} i e^{-i\epsilon(\ln 2\epsilon + \gamma)} \exp\left(i\epsilon \frac{5}{3} - i\epsilon^2 \frac{107}{420}\pi \right) \\ &\times \left(1 - \epsilon\frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon^2 \left\{ \frac{25}{18} + \frac{5}{24}\pi^2 + \frac{107}{210}(\gamma + \ln 2) \right\} + \cdots \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last expression, we have absorbed the terms arising from $q_2^{(1)}$ and $q_2^{(2)}$ into the phase.

(b) $\underline{\ell = 3}$

Now we turn to the case of $\ell = 3$. We focus on the real part of $\xi_3^{(2)}$. Then Eq.(2.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} f_3^{(2)} =& \mathrm{Re}\left(\xi_3^{(2)}\right) \\ =& \frac{1}{z} f_3^{(1)} + j_3 \int dz (2z n_3 - \frac{12}{7} n_4 - \frac{5}{7} n_2) f_3^{(1)} \\ & - n_3 \int dz (2z j_3 - \frac{12}{7} j_4 - \frac{5}{7} j_2) f_3^{(1)} \\ & + j_3 \int dz\, n_3 j_3 - n_3 \int dz\, j_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} j_3 (\ln z)^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

As before, after a lengthy calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_3^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{4z} j_4 + \frac{323}{49z} j_2 - \frac{5065}{294 z^2} j_1 - \left(\frac{1031}{588z} + \frac{445}{14 z^3}\right) j_0 + \frac{65}{6z^2} n_0 - \frac{65}{6z} n_1 \\ & + C(z) \left(\frac{3}{2z} n_3 - \frac{13}{6} n_2 - \frac{9}{2z} n_1 - \frac{15}{z^2} n_0 - \frac{13}{42} j_3\right) \\ & - S(z) \left(\frac{3}{2z} j_3 - \frac{13}{6} j_2 - \frac{9}{2z} j_1 - \frac{15}{z^2} j_0 + \frac{13}{42} n_3\right) \\ & + B_n(z) j_3 - B_j(z) n_3 - \frac{13}{42} j_3 \ln z - \frac{1}{2} j_3 (\ln z)^2 + \alpha_3^{(2)} j_3 , \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\alpha_3^{(2)}$ is an arbitrary constant which will be put to zero hereafer. We have also examined the above result with *Mathematica* and confirmed it.

Asymptotic behavior of $f_3^{(2)}$ at $z = \infty$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & f_3^{(2)} \to P_3^{(2)} j_3 + Q_3^{(2)} n_3 ; \\ & P_3^{(2)} = p_3^{(2)} + q_3^{(1)} \ln z - (\ln z)^2 , \\ & Q_3^{(2)} = q_3^{(2)} - p_3^{(1)} \ln z , \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where $p_3^{(2)}$ and $q_3^{(2)}$ are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} p_3^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(q_3^{(1)}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{13}{6}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{24}\pi^2 + \frac{13}{42}(\gamma + \ln 2), \\ q_3^{(2)} &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\left(q_3^{(1)} + \frac{13}{42}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

with $p_3^{(1)}$ and $q_3^{(1)}$, again from Eq.(4.5), being given by

$$p_3^{(1)} = -\frac{\pi}{2}\,, \quad q_3^{(1)} = \frac{13}{6} - \gamma - \ln 2\,. \tag{4.22}$$

By absorbing the $q_3^{(1)}$ and $q_3^{(2)}$ terms into the phase, A_3^{in} to $O(\epsilon^2)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} A_3^{in} =& \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\epsilon(\ln 2\epsilon + \gamma)} \exp\left(i\epsilon\frac{13}{6} - i\epsilon^2\frac{13}{84}\pi\right) \\ & \times\left(1 - \epsilon\frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon^2\left\{\frac{169}{72} + \frac{5}{24}\pi^2 + \frac{13}{42}(\gamma + \ln 2)\right\} + \cdots\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

(c) $\underline{\ell = 4}$

The calculation of $\xi_4^{(2)}$ is parallel to the previous two cases. From Eq.(2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_4^{(2)} =& \,\mathrm{Re}\left(\xi_4^{(2)}\right) \\ =& \frac{1}{z} f_4^{(1)} + j_4 \int dz (2z n_4 - \frac{7}{3} n_5 - \frac{4}{3} n_3) f_4^{(1)} \\ & - n_4 \int dz (2z j_4 - \frac{7}{3} j_5 - \frac{4}{3} j_3) f_4^{(1)} \\ & + j_4 \int dz\, n_4 j_4 - n_4 \int dz\, j_4^2 - \frac{1}{2} j_4 (\ln z)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_4^{(2)} =& \frac{56}{165\,z} j_5 + \left(-\frac{5036}{33\,z^4} + \frac{30334}{1155\,z^2} \right) j_4 + \left(\frac{35252}{33\,z^5} - \frac{30334}{165\,z^3} + \frac{14401}{3465\,z} \right) j_3 \\
& - \left(\frac{5036}{11\,z^5} + \frac{45461}{693\,z^3} + \frac{36287}{9240\,z} \right) j_1 + \left(\frac{140}{z^3} - \frac{5}{18\,z} \right) n_0 - \frac{49}{6\,z} n_2 \\
& + C(z) \left(\frac{21}{10\,z} n_4 - \frac{149}{60} n_3 + \frac{5}{3\,z} n_2 - \frac{105}{2\,z^2} n_1 - \frac{105}{z^3} n_0 + \frac{10}{z} n_0 - \frac{1571}{6930} j_4 \right) \\
& - S(z) \left(\frac{21}{10\,z} j_4 - \frac{149}{60} j_3 + \frac{5}{3\,z} j_2 - \frac{105}{2\,z^2} j_1 - \frac{105}{z^3} j_0 + \frac{10}{z} j_0 + \frac{1571}{6930} n_4 \right) \\
& + B_n(z)\, j_4 - B_j(z)\, n_4 - \frac{1571}{6930}\, j_4\, \ln z - \frac{1}{2}\, j_4\, (\ln z)^2 + \alpha_4^{(2)} j_4 \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.25}
$$

where, for convenience, we shall choose $\alpha_4^{(2)} = 0$ also in this case.

From the asymptotic behavior of $f_4^{(2)}$ at $z = \infty$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_4^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(q_4^{(1)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{149}{60} \right)^2 + \frac{5}{24} \pi^2 + \frac{1571}{6930} (\gamma + \ln 2), \\
q_4^{(2)} &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \left(q_4^{(1)} + \frac{1571}{6930} \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.26}
$$

where $p_4^{(1)}$ and $q_4^{(1)}$ are once again read off from Eq.(4.5) as

$$
p_4^{(1)} = -\frac{\pi}{2}\,, \quad q_4^{(1)} = \frac{149}{60} - \gamma - \ln 2\,. \tag{4.27}
$$

Hence A_4^{in} to $O(\epsilon^2)$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_4^{in} =& \frac{1}{2} i e^{-i\epsilon(\ln 2\epsilon + \gamma)} \exp\left(i\epsilon \frac{149}{60} - i\epsilon^2 \frac{1571}{13860} \pi \right) \\
& \times \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon^2 \left\{ \frac{22201}{7200} + \frac{5}{24} \pi^2 + \frac{1571}{6930} (\gamma + \ln 2) \right\} + \cdots \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.28}
$$

5. Conclusion

We have formulated a new post-Newtonian type expansion to solve the homogeneous Regge-Wheeler equation. We have then applied it to solve for the ingoing-wave Regge-Wheeler function X_ℓ^{in}, which is purely ingoing at the black-hole horizon. We have focused on X_ℓ^{in} since it plays an essential role in evaluating the gravitational waveform and luminosity radiated to infinity by a particle orbiting around a non-rotating black hole. The method has an advantage that the ingoing-wave boundary condition of X_ℓ^{in} at the horizon is naturally taken into account. It also allows a systematic expansion of X_ℓ^{in} in powers of $\epsilon = 2M\omega$, which is of $O(v^3)$ where v is the orbital velocity of a particle.

By investigating general features of X_ℓ^{in}, we have argued that as long as we are concerned with the radiation going out to infinity, the effect of the radiation absorbed into the black-hole horizon is negligible until we go to $O(\epsilon^6)$, or $O(v^{18})$ beyond Newtonian. Hence X_ℓ^{in} can be also used for a situation in which there is a non-rotating compact star instead of a black hole. Thus our method can provide an important guideline for the study of inspiraling compact binaries, though it is restricted to the case when one body has a small mass relative to the other.

Then we have solved for X_ℓ^{in} and derived its analytic expression correct to $O(\epsilon)$ for arbitrary ℓ and to $O(\epsilon^2)$ for $\ell = 2, 3$ and 4. These results can be used to analytically evaluate the gravitational waveform and the luminosity radiated by a particle in circular orbit to $O(v^8)$ beyond Newtonian. This is in progress and the result will be published in a forthcoming paper.[15]

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank T. Nakamura and H. Tagoshi for stimulative conversations and explanation of their semi-analytic results given in Ref.6), by which I was prompted to study the present subject. This work was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas of Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, No.04234104.

Appendix

In this Appendix, we summarize mathematical formulas associated with the spherical Bessel functions which are used in the calculation of the ingoing-wave function X_ℓ^{in}. In doing so, we also sketch the calculation to $O(\epsilon^2)$. We denote any of the spherical Bessel functions by ζ_m and/or by ζ_m^*.

The basic recurrence relation is

$$\zeta_{m-1} + \zeta_{m+1} = \frac{2m+1}{z}\zeta_m \,, \tag{A.1}$$

from which it follows that

$$j_{-m} = (-1)^m \, n_{m-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad n_{-m} = (-1)^{m-1} \, j_{m-1} \,. \tag{A.2}$$

Thus all the spherical Bessel functions appearing in the text can be expressed in terms of j_m alone if necessary. There is also a useful series expansion formula:

$$j_{m+n} = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^n \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{n! \, (m+2r+1/2) \, \Gamma(m+r+1/2)}{r! \, (n-r)! \, \Gamma(m+n+r+3/2)} j_{m+2r} \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{A.3}$$

This enables us to get rid of all the inverse powers of z appearing, e.g., in the integrands of Eq.(4.12). Hence except for the terms involving $\mathrm{Ci}\, 2z$, $\mathrm{Si}\, 2z$ and $\ln z$, all the terms in the integrands reduce to either of the form $z\zeta_m\zeta_n^*$ or of the form $\zeta_m\zeta_n^*$. For such forms, the following indefinite integral formulas are known to hold:

$$\int dz \, \zeta_m \zeta_n^* = \frac{z^2}{(m-n)(m+n+1)} \left(\zeta_m \zeta_{n+1}^* + \zeta_{m-1} \zeta_n^* \right) - \frac{z}{m-n} \zeta_m \zeta_n^* \quad (m \neq n),$$

$$\int dz \, \zeta_\ell \zeta_\ell^* = \frac{1}{2\ell+1} \left\{ \int dz \, \zeta_0 \zeta_0^* - z \left(\zeta_0 \zeta_0^* + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \zeta_m \zeta_m^* + \zeta_\ell \zeta_\ell^* \right) \right\},$$

$$\int dz \, z \zeta_m \zeta_n^* = \int dz \, z \zeta_{m-1} \zeta_{n-1}^* - \frac{z^2}{m+n} \left(\zeta_{m-1} \zeta_{n-1}^* + \zeta_m \zeta_n^* \right),$$

$$\int dz \, z \zeta_\ell \zeta_\ell^* = \int dz \, z \zeta_0 \zeta_0^* - \frac{z^2}{2} \left\{ \zeta_0 \zeta_0^* + \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \zeta_m \zeta_m^* + \frac{1}{\ell} \zeta_\ell \zeta_\ell^* \right\}, \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int dz\, n_0^2 = -\int dz\, j_0^2 - \frac{1}{z} = -S(z) - z n_0^2, \\
&\int dz\, n_0 j_0 = -C(z) - z n_0 j_0 - \ln z\,, \\
&\int dz\, z n_0^2 = -\int dz\, z j_0^2 + \ln z = \frac{1}{2} C(z) + \ln z\,, \\
&\int dz\, z n_0 j_0 = -\frac{1}{2} S(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where the functions $S(z)$ and $C(z)$ are defined in Eq.(4.8), which we recapitulate:

$$S(z) = \mathrm{Si}\, 2z\,, \qquad C(z) = \mathrm{Ci}\, 2z - \gamma - \ln 2z\,. \tag{A.6}$$

As for the terms involving $C(z)$, $S(z)$ and $\ln z$, what we need to evaluate are the asymptotic forms of the integrals in the expressions of $B_n(z)$ and $B_j(z)$ given by Eq.(4.9):

$$\begin{aligned}
I_s(z) &:= \int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} \sin x \ln x\,, \\
I_c(z) &:= \int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} (1 - \cos x) \ln x\,, \\
I_{cs}(z) &:= \int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{x} \left(\mathrm{Si}\, x \cos x - \mathrm{Ci}\, x \sin x\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

First, let us evaluate I_s. It can be done as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_s(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\int_0^{2z} dx x^{\alpha - 1} \sin x \right)_{\alpha = 0} \\
&\underset{z \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\Gamma(1+\alpha) \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha}{\alpha} \right)_{\alpha = 0} \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{2} \gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

In a similar manner, I_c is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} I_c(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\left(\int_0^{2z} dx x^{\alpha-1}(1-\cos x)\right)_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\left(\frac{(2z)^\alpha - 1}{\alpha} - \left[\int_0^{2z} dx x^{\alpha-1}\cos x - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right]\right)_{\alpha=0} \\ &\underset{z\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{2}(\ln 2z)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha - 1}{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\ln 2z)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{24} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Finally, we consider I_{cs}. We utilize the following integral representation of the integrand:

$$\mathrm{si}\, x \cos x - \mathrm{ci}\, x \sin x = -\int_0^\infty dt \frac{\sin t}{t+x}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

where $\mathrm{si}\, x = \mathrm{Si}\, x - \pi/2$ and $\mathrm{ci}\, x = \mathrm{Ci}\, x$. Then we manipulate the integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{cs} &= \int_0^{2z} dx \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} dt \frac{\sin t}{t} \left(\frac{1}{t+x} - \frac{1}{x} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\cos x}{x} \right\} \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin t \int_0^{2z} \frac{dx}{t+x} + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{2z} dx \frac{\cos x - 1}{x} \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin t \ln(2z+t) - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin t \ln t + \frac{\pi}{2} C(z) \qquad \text{(A.11)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\ln 2z + \gamma + C(z) \right) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin t \ln \left(1 + \frac{t}{2z} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{Ci} 2z + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin 2zt \ \ln(1+t) \underset{z \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence I_{cs} vanishes as $z \to \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Abramovici et al., Science **256** (1992) 325.
2. C. Bradaschia et al., Nucl. Instrum. & Methods **A289** (1990) 518.
3. see e.g., K.S. Thorne, in *Proceedings of the 8th Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium on Relativistic Cosmology*, ed. M. Sasaki (Universal Academy Press, Tokyo), to appear.
4. see e.g., C.M. Will, in *Proceedings of the 8th Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium on Relativistic Cosmology*, ed. M. Sasaki (Universal Academy Press, Tokyo), to appear.
5. C. Cutler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 2984.
6. H. Tagoshi and T. Nakamura, Preprint KUNS 1223, to be published in Phys. Rev. D.

7. T. Regge and J.A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108** (1957) 1063.

8. E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 1497.

9. S.A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **185** (1973) 635.

10. S. Chandrasekhar, Proc. R. Soc. London **A343** (1975) 289.

11. M. Sasaki and T. Nakamura, Phys. Lett. **87A** (1981) 85.

12. T. Tanaka, M. Shibata, M. Sasaki, H. Tagoshi and T. Nakamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **90** (1993) 65.

13. T. Apostolatos, D. Kennefick, A. Ori and E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 5376.

14. A.G. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) 4757.

15. H. Tagoshi and M. Sasaki, in preparation.